1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 CHRISTINA GONZALEZ, et al., 10 Case No.: 1:20-cv-00912-NONE-JLT 11 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE CASES SHOULD NOT BE CONSOLIDATED FOR ALL 12 v. **PURPOSES** 13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 Case No. 1:20-cv-01298 NONE JLT 16 JEWELINA GONZALEZ, 17 Plaintiff, 18 v. 19 KYLE NUNEZ, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 22 The Court has been advised that the parties in the case, Gonzalez v. Nunez, Case No.1:20cv-01298 NONE JLT (Doc. 16 at 12), believe the two cases should be consolidated. Indeed, 23 review of the pleadings demonstrates that consolidation would preserve judicial and party 24 resources and avoid inconsistent rulings. Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**: 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

## Case 1:20-cv-01298-NONE-JLT Document 20 Filed 12/04/20 Page 2 of 2

1	1. No later than December 11, 2020, the parties in Gonzalez v. State of California
2	Case No.1:20-cv-00912 NONE JLT, <b>SHALL</b> show cause why the actions should not be
3	consolidated for all purposes.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: December 4, 2020 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
,,	